

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,116	09/26/2001		Ekambar R. Kandimalla	HYZ-479CP (47508.577)	3956
32254	7590	04/18/2005		EXAMINER	
KEOWN &			LE, EMILY M		
500 WEST C SUITE 1200		IS PARK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WOBURN,	MA 0180	1	1648		
				DATE MAILED: 04/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			. (D
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
Office Action Summary		09/965,116	KANDIMALLA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Emily Le	1648	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION moisons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	2 November 2004 and 21 De	<u>cember 2004</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-8 and 39-43</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	, ,		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-8 and 39-43</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		ı	
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	d/or election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents.	ents have been received.		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bui	•	Ç	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attach	*/a\			
Attachmen	τ(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [] Intonious	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB aper No(s)/Mail Date	/08) 5) Notice of I 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/965,116

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claims 4-5 and 9-38 are cancelled. Claims 39-43 are added. Claims 1-3, 6-8 and 39-43 are pending and under examination.

Change of Address

Applicant's change of address/power of attorney is noted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 (in part), 7-8 and 42 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Schwartz.

Applicant submits that Schwartz does not anticipate the claim as instantly presented because Schwartz describes modification of cytosine with a halogen group.

Applicant's submission has been considered, however, it is not found persuasive. In the instant, Schwartz continues to anticipate the claimed invention. Schwartz teaches immunomodulatory oligonucleotide that comprises a central CG sequence, wherein C is a modified cytosine. The modified cytosine Schwartz teaches includes cytosine arabinoside. Schwartz teaches the same oligonucleotide that is instantly claimed. Ergo, Schwartz anticipates the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1648

5. Claims 1-3 (in part), 7 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zuo et al.

Applicant submits that Zuo et al. does not anticipate the claimed invention because Zuo et al. does not deal with immunostimulatory oligonucleotides or their administration, with emphasis added to immunostimulatory.

Applicant's above submission has been considered, however, it is not found persuasive. MPEP § 2112 states, "something which is old does not become patentable upon the discovery of a new property". In the instant, Zuo et al. teaches the claimed oligonucleotide. Zuo et al. teaches of an oligonucleotide that comprises the CG sequence, wherein C is a modified cytosine. The modified cytosine Zuo teaches includes 5-hydroxymethylcytidine—a 5-hydroxymethylcytosine attached to a sugar group.

Since the oligonucleotide of Zuo et al. is the same as the claimed invention, any description of properties made by Applicant would be an inherent property of the composition of Zuo et al. Ergo, the oligonucleotide of Zuo et al. would inherently be immunostimulating. Thus, Zuo et al. anticipates the claimed invention.

6. Claims 1-3 (in part), 7 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Butkus et al.

The claims limit the unnatural pyrimidine to N4-alkylcytosine.

Butkus et al. teaches a CpG composition that comprises an unnatural pyrimidine, wherein the unnatural pyrimidine is N4-methylcytosine. N4-methylcytosine is an N4-

Application/Control Number: 09/965,116

Art Unit: 1648

alkylcytosine. The CpG composition of Butkus et al. is the same as the claimed invention. Ergo, Butkus et al. anticipates the claimed invention.

7. Claims 1-3 (in part), 6-7 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kreutzer et al.

The claims limit the unnatural pyrimidine to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine.

Kreutzer et al. teaches a CpG composition that comprises an unnatural pyrimidine, wherein the unnatural pyrimidine is 5-hydroxymethylcytosine. The CpG composition of Kreutzer et al. is the same as the claimed invention. Ergo, Kreutzer et al. anticipates the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwartz in view of Bennett et al.

The claims limit the unnatural pyrimidine 4-thiouracil.

Schwartz teaches immunomodulatory oligonucleotide that comprises a central CG sequence, wherein C is a modified cytosine.

Schwartz does not teach the use of 4-thiouracil as a modified cytosine, a pyrimidine. However, Schwartz teaches that any other modified pyrimidine can be used

Application/Control Number: 09/965,116

Art Unit: 1648

in place of the modified cytosine that Schwartz teaches to make an immunomodulatory oligonucleotide.

Bennett et al. teaches a comprehensive listing of modified pyrimidine bases, including 4-thiouracil, that can be used in place of the natural pyrimidine base.

In view of the teachings of Schwartz and Bennett et al., it would have been prima facie obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute known equivalents with one another. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have had a reasonable expectation of success for doing so because Schwartz suggests the use of modified pyrimidine in an immunomodulatory oligonucleotide and 4-thiouracil is a modified pyrimidine.

Therefore, one of ordinary of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have had a reasonable expectation of producing the claimed invention, absent unexpected results to the contrary.

Conclusion

- 10. No claim is allowed.
- 11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Le whose telephone number is (571) 272 0903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on (571) 272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D. Primary Patent Examiner Art Unit 1648

Art Unit 16